# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,629 07/15/2004		Nadejda G Gurskaya	EVRO/0006	9951
B. Todd Patter	7590 07/06/2007		EXAM	INER
Moser, Patterson & Sheridan			MONSHIPOURI, MARYAM	
3040 Post Oak Suite 1500	K Blvd.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX	Houston, TX 77056		1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		e e	07/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/501,629	GURSKAYA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Maryam Monshipouri	1656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON	ITH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.			
<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· -•				
· —	action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 Q.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 24-33</u> is/are pending in the a	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-10,15-17,26-28 and</u>		onsideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,11,14,18,21 and 31-33</u> is/are	rejected.				
$\frac{7}{4}$ ) Claim(s) $3.24.25$ and $29$ is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.				
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Appl	lication No			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	•	ceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	ceived.			
Attachment(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413) lail Date			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date filed7/2004.</li> </ul>		mal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1656

Applicant's response to supplemental restriction of elected claims 1-7, 11, 14, 18, 21, 24-25 and 29 and 31-33, filed 5/15/2007 is acknowledged. Applicant elected the species for DNA encoding SEQ ID NO:2 with E222G mutation further comprising V11I, F64L, K101E and T206A and SEQ ID NO:12 with traverse. In traversal of the original restriction requirement applicant provided same arguments dealt with in office action dated 3/2/2007. Therefore, said arguments are not addressed again and restriction remains for reasons of record.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-7, 11, 14, 18, 21, 24-25, 29, 31-33, SEQ ID NO:2 variant having E222G and SEQ ID NO:12 are under examination on the merits. Claims 8-10, 12-13, 15-17, 19-20, 26-28, 30 are hereby withdrawn as drawn to non-elected invention. Claims 22-23 are canceled.

#### Claim Objections

Claims 14, 21, 31-32 are objected to because of the following informalities: Said claims depend from polypeptide claims, which are drawn to non-elected subject matter.

Applicant is required to rewrite said claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities: said claims still recite non-elected subject matter. Applicant is advised to delete non-elected subject matter from said claims. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1656

Claims 21 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "the" in claims 21 and 31 lacks antecedent basis.

Claims 14, 21 and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "production" in all said claims, is confusing because it is unknown whether the methods utilize DNA or polypeptide. Further, no active step is recited in said claims. Passive terms such as "production" should be substituted by another term/phrase such as "recombinantly producing" or "recombinantly expressing" etc. to render the claims more clear. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "functional" in claim 33 is vague. It is unclear what function applicant is referring to. If applicant by using said term is referring to the fluorescent properties, she/he must specifically recite said function into the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1656

Claims 1, 4, 6 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Inouye at I. (FEBS Letters, 341, 277-280, 1994, cited in the IDS). Inouye teaches a DNA sequence encoding a genetically engineered mutant of SEQ ID NO:2, with at least one substitution and position 222 (i.e. E222G) as well as some others, wherein said mutant has fluorescent properties, and displays 94.4% identity to SEQ ID NO:2 (see the attached sequence alignment) anticipating claim 1. Since sequence alignment results are dependent on alignment parameters and 94.4% is very close to 95% it is believed that by changing the alignment parameters Inouye's sequence can be shown to encode a polypeptide sequence having 95% identity to SEQ ID NO:2, anticipating claim 33. In page 278, Inouye teaches about expression vectors and cassettes comprising its DNA and host cells (i.e. E. coli) comprising said cassettes, anticipating claims 4 and 6.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2, 4-7, 11, 14, 18, 21, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stubbs et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,919,186, issued 7/2005). Stubbs teaches a DNA sequence (see its SEQ ID NO:1) encoding a genetically engineered mutant of SEQ ID NO:2, with at least one substitution and position 222 (i.e. E222G), F64L, and T206 as well as some others, wherein said mutant has fluorescent properties, and displays 93.7% identity to SEQ ID NO:2 (see the attached sequence alignment) anticipating claims 1-2. Since sequence alignment results are dependent on alignment parameters and 93.7% is very close to 95% it is believed that by changing the alignment

Art Unit: 1656

parameters Inouye's sequence can be shown to encode a polypeptide sequence having 95% identity to SEQ ID NO:2, anticipating claim 33. In columns 8-9 Stubbs teaches about vectors (expression cassettes) and host cells comprising its DNA sequences, anticipating claims 4-7, 11, 18. In column 4, Stubbs teaches about methods of detecting gene expression utilizing DNA sequences encoding variants of SEQ ID NO:2, anticipating claims 14 and 21.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 24, 25, 29 (directed to SEQ ID NO:12 species only) are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. This is because DNA encoding SEQ ID NO:12 is free of prior art. Further the prior art does not teach or suggest preparing such specifically claimed DNA sequence. Hence said sequence is also non-obvious. Since said DNA sequence is both novel and non-obvious, expression cassettes, and host cells comprising said sequence and a methods of use of said sequence is also novel and on-obvious.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maryam Monshipouri whose telephone number is (571) 272-0932. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m to 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleene Kerr Bragdon can be reached on (571) 272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

re. <u>fforshi</u>, Maryam Monshipouri Ph.D.

**Primary Examiner**